

Entropic Cumulant Structures of Random State Ensembles

Lu Wei
Texas Tech University

LiCA2025
MATRIX Institute

Outline

Cumulant Structures of Hilbert-Schmidt Ensemble

Work in Progress: Cumulant Structures of Other Ensembles

Work in Perspectives: Algorithm to Analysis Gap

Cumulant Structures of Hilbert-Schmidt Ensemble*

*[Huang-Wei \[2025\]](#) Cumulant structures of entanglement entropy, available at arXiv:2502.05371

Cumulants of entropy

Cumulants of entropy

Computing the first / cumulants of entanglement entropy

$$S = -\text{tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A) = -\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \ln \lambda_i$$

over the Hilbert-Schmidt ensemble

$$f(\lambda) \propto \delta \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{n-m}$$

Cumulants of entropy

Computing the first / cumulants of entanglement entropy

$$S = -\text{tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A) = -\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \ln \lambda_i$$

over the Hilbert-Schmidt ensemble

$$f(\lambda) \propto \delta \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{n-m}$$

can be converted to the first / cumulants of induced entropy

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \ln x_i$$

over the Wishart-Laguerre ensemble

$$g(x) \propto \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^\alpha e^{-x_i}, \quad \alpha = n - m$$

Moments conversion

Moments conversion

Lemma 1 The l -th moment of S can be recursively converted to the first l moments of T by

$$\mathbb{E}[S^l] = (-1)^l \frac{\Gamma(mn)}{\Gamma(mn+l)} \mathbb{E}[T^l] + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} A_j \mathbb{E}[S^j],$$

where the coefficient A_j is

$$A_j = (-1)^{j+l+1} \binom{l}{j} B_{l-j}(\psi_0(mn+l), \dots, \psi_{l-j-1}(mn+l))$$

with $\psi_k(z)$ and $B_k(z_1, \dots, z_k)$ respectively denoting the k -th polygamma functions

$$\psi_k(z) = \frac{d^{k+1}}{dz^{k+1}} \ln \Gamma(z) = \frac{d^k}{dz^k} \psi_0(z)$$

and the k -th complete exponential Bell polynomials.

Moments conversion

Moments conversion

Ideas of Lemma 1

- ▶ The change of variables

$$\lambda_i = \frac{x_i}{r}, \quad r = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i$$

leads to the factorization of densities

$$g(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = h(r) f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) dr d\boldsymbol{\lambda}$$

and relations between linear statistics

$$S = r^{-1} (r \ln r - T), \quad T = r (\ln r - S)$$

Moments conversion

Ideas of Lemma 1

- ▶ The change of variables

$$\lambda_i = \frac{x_i}{r}, \quad r = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i$$

leads to the factorization of densities

$$g(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = h(r) f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) dr d\boldsymbol{\lambda}$$

and relations between linear statistics

$$S = r^{-1} (r \ln r - T), \quad T = r (\ln r - S)$$

- ▶ Evaluating the integral over r in $\mathbb{E}[S']$ leads to Lemma 1

Cumulant structures: Overview

- ▶ The new methods uncover hidden cumulant structures that decouple each cumulant in a summation-free manner into its lower-order cumulants involving ancillary statistics

$$T_k = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^k \ln x_i, \quad R_k = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^k$$

Cumulant structures: Overview

- ▶ The new methods uncover hidden cumulant structures that decouple each cumulant in a summation-free manner into its lower-order cumulants involving ancillary statistics

$$T_k = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^k \ln x_i, \quad R_k = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^k$$

- ▶ **Matrix-level results** enable the construction of a related but simpler cumulant that leads to a new decoupling structure through the Christoffel-Darboux kernel

$$K(x, y) \propto \sqrt{w(x)w(y)} \frac{L_{m-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)L_m^{(\alpha)}(y) - L_m^{(\alpha)}(x)L_{m-1}^{(\alpha)}(y)}{x - y}$$

Cumulant structures: Overview

- ▶ The new methods uncover hidden cumulant structures that decouple each cumulant in a summation-free manner into its lower-order cumulants involving ancillary statistics

$$T_k = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^k \ln x_i, \quad R_k = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^k$$

- ▶ **Matrix-level results** enable the construction of a related but simpler cumulant that leads to a new decoupling structure through the Christoffel-Darboux kernel

$$K(x, y) \propto \sqrt{w(x)w(y)} \frac{L_{m-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)L_m^{(\alpha)}(y) - L_m^{(\alpha)}(x)L_{m-1}^{(\alpha)}(y)}{x - y}$$

- ▶ **Kernel-level results** are more delicate tools to recycle the decoupled term produced from the new decoupling structure into lower-order cumulants

Cumulant structures: Initial data of mean $\kappa(T_k)$

Cumulant structures: Initial data of mean $\kappa(T_k)$

Lemma 2 The recurrence relation of mean formulas $\kappa(T_k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is

$$(k+1)\kappa(T_k) = (k-1)(2m+\alpha)\kappa(T_{k-1}) + m(m+\alpha) \times \\ (\kappa^+(T_{k-1}) - \kappa^-(T_{k-1})) \kappa(R_k) + (2m+\alpha)\kappa(R_{k-1}),$$

where the initial value is

$$\kappa(T_0) = (m+\alpha)\psi_0(m+\alpha) - \alpha\psi_0(\alpha) - m$$

and

$$\kappa_I^+(\mathbf{X}) = \kappa_I(\mathbf{X})|_{m \rightarrow m \pm 1}.$$

Cumulant structures: Initial data of mean $\kappa(T_k)$

Lemma 2 The recurrence relation of mean formulas $\kappa(T_k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is

$$(k+1)\kappa(T_k) = (k-1)(2m+\alpha)\kappa(T_{k-1}) + m(m+\alpha) \times \\ (\kappa^+(T_{k-1}) - \kappa^-(T_{k-1})) \kappa(R_k) + (2m+\alpha)\kappa(R_{k-1}),$$

where the initial value is

$$\kappa(T_0) = (m+\alpha)\psi_0(m+\alpha) - \alpha\psi_0(\alpha) - m$$

and

$$\kappa_I^+(\mathbf{X}) = \kappa_I(\mathbf{X})|_{m \rightarrow m \pm 1}.$$

Example

$$\kappa(T_2) = m(m+\alpha)(2m+\alpha)\psi_0(m+\alpha) + \frac{m}{6} (10m^2 + 9m\alpha + 6m + 3\alpha + 2)$$

Cumulant structures: Matrix-level results

Cumulant structures: Matrix-level results

Proposition 1 For a set $\mathbf{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_l\}$ of l linear statistics

$$X_j = \sum_{i=1}^m f_j(x_i)$$

over the Wishart density, the joint cumulant $\kappa_l(\mathbf{X})$ satisfies

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \kappa_l(\mathbf{X}) = \kappa_{l+1}(\mathbf{X}, T_0).$$

Cumulant structures: Matrix-level results

Proposition 1 For a set $\mathbf{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_l\}$ of l linear statistics

$$X_j = \sum_{i=1}^m f_j(x_i)$$

over the Wishart density, the joint cumulant $\kappa_l(\mathbf{X})$ satisfies

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \kappa_l(\mathbf{X}) = \kappa_{l+1}(\mathbf{X}, T_0).$$

Example

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \kappa(T_2) = \kappa(T_2, T_0)$$

Cumulant structures: Matrix-level results

Remarks on Proposition 1

- ▶ The proof utilizes generating functions of $\kappa_I(\mathbf{X})$ and $\frac{d}{d\alpha}\kappa_I(\mathbf{X})$, and the fact that

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \det^\alpha (\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^\dagger) = T_0 \det^\alpha (\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^\dagger)$$

Cumulant structures: Matrix-level results

Remarks on Proposition 1

- ▶ The proof utilizes generating functions of $\kappa_I(\mathbf{X})$ and $\frac{d}{d\alpha}\kappa_I(\mathbf{X})$, and the fact that

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \det^\alpha (\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^\dagger) = T_0 \det^\alpha (\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^\dagger)$$

- ▶ Proposition 1 permits the construction of the decoupling statistics such that the difference between the desired cumulant and the constructed one decouples the kernels through the Christoffel-Darboux kernel

Cumulant structures: Kernel-level results

- ▶ Integrals resulting from the new decoupling structure consist of three types $H_I(\mathbf{X})$, $h_I(\mathbf{X})$, and $D_I(\mathbf{X})$, which are integrals involving products of Laguerre polynomials $L_m^{(\alpha)}(x)L_m^{(\alpha)}(y)$, $L_{m-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)L_{m-1}^{(\alpha)}(y)$, and $L_m^{(\alpha)}(x)L_{m-1}^{(\alpha)}(y)$, respectively

Cumulant structures: Kernel-level results

- ▶ Integrals resulting from the new decoupling structure consist of three types $H_I(\mathbf{X})$, $h_I(\mathbf{X})$, and $D_I(\mathbf{X})$, which are integrals involving products of Laguerre polynomials $L_m^{(\alpha)}(x)L_m^{(\alpha)}(y)$, $L_{m-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)L_{m-1}^{(\alpha)}(y)$, and $L_m^{(\alpha)}(x)L_{m-1}^{(\alpha)}(y)$, respectively
- ▶ One must be able to recast these remaining integrals into lower-order cumulants so that the process of relating cumulants of different orders could continue

Cumulant structures: Kernel-level results

Proposition 2 The integrals $H_I(\mathbf{X})$ and $h_I(\mathbf{X})$ are recast respectively to lower-order cumulants as

$$H_I(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{\{p_1, \dots, p_i\} \in \mathcal{P}_L} \prod_{j=1}^i \left(\kappa_{|p_j|}^+ (\mathbf{X}_{p_j}) - \kappa_{|p_j|}^- (\mathbf{X}_{p_j}) \right),$$
$$h_I(\mathbf{X}) = - \sum_{\{p_1, \dots, p_i\} \in \mathcal{P}_L} \prod_{j=1}^i \left(\kappa_{|p_j|}^- (\mathbf{X}_{p_j}) - \kappa_{|p_j|}^+ (\mathbf{X}_{p_j}) \right).$$

Cumulant structures: Kernel-level results

Proposition 2 The integrals $H_I(\mathbf{X})$ and $h_I(\mathbf{X})$ are recast respectively to lower-order cumulants as

$$H_I(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{\{p_1, \dots, p_i\} \in \mathcal{P}_L} \prod_{j=1}^i \left(\kappa_{|p_j|}^+ (\mathbf{X}_{p_j}) - \kappa_{|p_j|}^- (\mathbf{X}_{p_j}) \right),$$
$$h_I(\mathbf{X}) = - \sum_{\{p_1, \dots, p_i\} \in \mathcal{P}_L} \prod_{j=1}^i \left(\kappa_{|p_j|}^- (\mathbf{X}_{p_j}) - \kappa_{|p_j|}^+ (\mathbf{X}_{p_j}) \right).$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} H(T_k) &= \frac{m!}{(m+\alpha)!} \int_0^\infty x^k \ln x w(x) L_m^{(\alpha)}(x) L_m^{(\alpha)}(x) dx \\ &= \kappa^+(T_k) - \kappa^-(T_k) \end{aligned}$$

Cumulant structures: Kernel-level results

Recycling of $D_I(\mathbf{X})$ requires joint cumulant derivative

$$\kappa'_I(\mathbf{X}) = \kappa(X'_1, \dots, X_I) + \kappa(X_1, X'_2, \dots, X_I) + \dots + \kappa(X_1, \dots, X'_I),$$

$$X'_j = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \frac{d}{dx_i} f_j(x_i)$$

Cumulant structures: Kernel-level results

Recycling of $D_I(\mathbf{X})$ requires joint cumulant derivative

$$\kappa'_I(\mathbf{X}) = \kappa(X'_1, \dots, X_I) + \kappa(X_1, X'_2, \dots, X_I) + \dots + \kappa(X_1, \dots, X'_I),$$

$$X'_j = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \frac{d}{dx_i} f_j(x_i)$$

Proposition 3 The integral $D_I(\mathbf{X})$ is recast to lower-order cumulants as

$$D_I(\mathbf{X}) = \kappa'_I(\mathbf{X}).$$

Cumulant structures: Kernel-level results

Recycling of $D_I(\mathbf{X})$ requires joint cumulant derivative

$$\kappa'_I(\mathbf{X}) = \kappa(X'_1, \dots, X_I) + \kappa(X_1, X'_2, \dots, X_I) + \dots + \kappa(X_1, \dots, X'_I),$$

$$X'_j = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \frac{d}{dx_i} f_j(x_i)$$

Proposition 3 The integral $D_I(\mathbf{X})$ is recast to lower-order cumulants as

$$D_I(\mathbf{X}) = \kappa'_I(\mathbf{X}).$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(T_k) &= -\frac{m!}{(m-1+\alpha)!} \int_0^\infty x^k \ln x w(x) L_{m-1}^{(\alpha)}(x) L_m^{(\alpha)}(x) dx \\ &= k\kappa(T_k) + \kappa(R_k) \end{aligned}$$

Cumulant structures: Main results

Cumulant structures: Main results

Theorem 1 For any $l \geq 2$, the joint cumulant $\kappa_l(T_k, T, \dots, T)$ admits the decoupling structure

$$\kappa_l(T_k, T, \dots, T) - \frac{d}{d\alpha} \kappa_{l-1}(T_{k+1}, T, \dots, T) = \delta_l(k),$$

Cumulant structures: Main results

Theorem 1 For any $I \geq 2$, the joint cumulant $\kappa_I(T_k, T, \dots, T)$ admits the decoupling structure

$$\kappa_I(T_k, T, \dots, T) - \frac{d}{d\alpha} \kappa_{I-1}(T_{k+1}, T, \dots, T) = \delta_I(k),$$

where the decoupled term

$$\delta_I(k) = \sum_{s=1}^{I-1} \frac{(I-2)!}{(s-1)!(I-s-1)!} (\kappa(R) H_{I,s}(k) - D_{I,s}(k))$$

consists of lower-order cumulants $H_{I,s}(k)$ and $D_{I,s}(k)$.

Cumulant structures: Main results

Remarks on Theorem 1

- ▶ The proof of Theorem 1 is based on a proper combination of matrix-level and kernel-level results through the combinatorial structure of joint cumulants

Cumulant structures: Main results

Remarks on Theorem 1

- ▶ The proof of Theorem 1 is based on a proper combination of matrix-level and kernel-level results through the combinatorial structure of joint cumulants
- ▶ Theorem 1 guarantees the existence of a closed-form cumulant formula $\kappa_l(T)$ for any order l , which implies the presence of anomalies is not necessary

Cumulant structures: Main results

Remarks on Theorem 1

- ▶ The proof of Theorem 1 is based on a proper combination of matrix-level and kernel-level results through the combinatorial structure of joint cumulants
- ▶ Theorem 1 guarantees the existence of a closed-form cumulant formula $\kappa_l(T)$ for any order l , which implies the presence of anomalies is not necessary
- ▶ The existence also provides an explicit construction in generating the closed-form expression of $\kappa_l(T)$ for a given l

Cumulant structures: Implementation

Algorithm: Calculating l -th Cumulant $\kappa_l(T)$

Input: Any positive integer $l \geq 2$

$\kappa(T_l)$ closed-form expression

Output: Closed-form formula of $\kappa_l(T)$

- 1: $L \leftarrow 2$
 - 2: **while** $L \leq l$ **do**
 - 3: $k \leftarrow l - L + 1$
 - 4: $\delta_L(k) \leftarrow$ by Theorem 1
 - 5: $\kappa_L(T_k, T, \dots, T) \leftarrow \delta_L(k) + \frac{d}{d\alpha} \kappa_{L-1}(T_{k+1}, T, \dots, T)$
 - 6: $L \leftarrow L + 1$
 - 7: **end while**
-

Cumulant structures: A consequence of Theorem 1

Corollary 1 In the l -th cumulant $\kappa_l(S)$, terms involving polygamma function of highest order ψ_{l-1} are

$$(-1)^{l-1} \left(\psi_{l-1}(mn) - \frac{\kappa(R_l)}{(mn)_l} \psi_{l-1}(n) \right).$$

Cumulant structures: A consequence of Theorem 1

Corollary 1 In the l -th cumulant $\kappa_l(S)$, terms involving polygamma function of highest order ψ_{l-1} are

$$(-1)^{l-1} \left(\psi_{l-1}(mn) - \frac{\kappa(R_l)}{(mn)_l} \psi_{l-1}(n) \right).$$

Remark Despite Theorem 1 generates $\kappa_l(S)$ expression for a given l , it is unable to provide highest-order polygamma terms for any l as captured in this corollary

Work in Progress: Cumulant Structures of Other Ensembles

Entanglement estimation

- ▶ Estimating the degree of entanglement of **bipartite model***

*Page [1993] Average entropy of a subsystem, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*

Entanglement estimation

- ▶ Estimating the degree of entanglement of **bipartite model***
 - ▶ measured by different **entanglement metrics**
 - ▶ von Neumann entropy (entanglement entropy)
 - ▶ quantum purity
 - ▶ Rényi entropy

*Page [1993] Average entropy of a subsystem, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*

Entanglement estimation

- ▶ Estimating the degree of entanglement of **bipartite model***
 - ▶ measured by different **entanglement metrics**
 - ▶ von Neumann entropy (entanglement entropy)
 - ▶ quantum purity
 - ▶ Rényi entropy
 - ▶ over different models of **generic states**
 - ▶ Hilbert-Schmidt ensemble (Laguerre ensemble)
 - ▶ Bures-Hall ensemble (Cauchy-Laguerre ensemble)
 - ▶ fermionic Gaussian ensemble (Jacobi ensemble)

*Page [1993] Average entropy of a subsystem, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*

Bures-Hall and fermionic-Gaussian ensembles

Bures-Hall and fermionic-Gaussian ensembles

- ▶ Bures-Hall ensemble*†

$$f(\lambda) \propto \delta \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j} \prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{n-m-\frac{1}{2}}$$

*[Bertola et al \[2014\]](#) Cauchy-Laguerre two-matrix model and the Meijer-G random point field, *Commun. Math. Phys.*

†[Forrester-Kieburg \[2016\]](#) Relating the Bures measure to the Cauchy two-matrix model, *Commun. Math. Phys.*

Bures-Hall and fermionic-Gaussian ensembles

- ▶ Bures-Hall ensemble*†

$$f(\lambda) \propto \delta \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j} \prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{n-m-\frac{1}{2}}$$

- ▶ fermionic-Gaussian ensemble‡

$$f(\lambda) \propto \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left(\lambda_i^\gamma - \lambda_j^\gamma \right)^2 \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \lambda_i)^a (1 + \lambda_i)^b, \quad \gamma = 1, 2$$

*[Bertola et al \[2014\]](#) Cauchy-Laguerre two-matrix model and the Meijer-G random point field, *Commun. Math. Phys.*

†[Forrester-Kieburg \[2016\]](#) Relating the Bures measure to the Cauchy two-matrix model, *Commun. Math. Phys.*

‡[Bianchi-Hackl-Kieburg \[2021\]](#) The Page curve for fermionic Gaussian states, *Phys. Rev. B*

Results by existing methods: Bures-Hall ensemble

Results by existing methods: Bures-Hall ensemble

- **Mean:** conjectured by Sarkar-Kumar'19*, proved in Wei'20†

$$\kappa_1 = \psi_0\left(mn - \frac{m^2}{2} + 1\right) - \psi_0\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

*Sarkar-Kumar [2019] Bures-Hall ensemble: spectral densities and average entropies, *J. Phys. A*

†Wei [2020] Proof of Sarkar-Kumar's conjectures on average entanglement entropies over the Bures-Hall ensemble, *J. Phys. A*

Results by existing methods: Bures-Hall ensemble

- ▶ **Mean:** conjectured by Sarkar-Kumar'19*, proved in Wei'20[†]

$$\kappa_1 = \psi_0\left(mn - \frac{m^2}{2} + 1\right) - \psi_0\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

- ▶ **Variance[‡]:**

$$\kappa_2 = -\psi_1\left(mn - \frac{m^2}{2} + 1\right) + \frac{2n(2n+m) - m^2 + 1}{2n(2mn - m^2 + 2)} \psi_1\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

* Sarkar-Kumar [2019] Bures-Hall ensemble: spectral densities and average entropies, *J. Phys. A*

† Wei [2020] Proof of Sarkar-Kumar's conjectures on average entanglement entropies over the Bures-Hall ensemble, *J. Phys. A*

‡ Wei [2020] Exact variance of von Neumann entanglement entropy over the Bures-Hall measure, *Phys. Rev. E*

Results by existing methods: Bures-Hall ensemble

- ▶ **Mean:** conjectured by Sarkar-Kumar'19*, proved in Wei'20†

$$\kappa_1 = \psi_0\left(mn - \frac{m^2}{2} + 1\right) - \psi_0\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

- ▶ **Variance**‡:

$$\kappa_2 = -\psi_1\left(mn - \frac{m^2}{2} + 1\right) + \frac{2n(2n+m) - m^2 + 1}{2n(2mn - m^2 + 2)} \psi_1\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

- ▶ **Skewness**§:

$$\kappa_3 = \psi_2\left(mn - \frac{m^2}{2} + 1\right) + c_1 \psi_2\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + c_2 \psi_1\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

*Sarkar-Kumar [2019] Bures-Hall ensemble: spectral densities and average entropies, *J. Phys. A*

†Wei [2020] Proof of Sarkar-Kumar's conjectures on average entanglement entropies over the Bures-Hall ensemble, *J. Phys. A*

‡Wei [2020] Exact variance of von Neumann entanglement entropy over the Bures-Hall measure, *Phys. Rev. E*

§Wei-Huang-Wei [2025] Skewness of von Neumann entropy over Bures-Hall random states, available at arXiv:2506.06663

Results by existing methods: fermionic-Gaussian ensemble

Results by existing methods: fermionic-Gaussian ensemble

- ▶ **Mean:** Bianchi-Hackl-Kieburg'21* and
Bianchi-Hackl-Kieburg-Rigol-Vidmar'22†

$$\kappa_1 = a_1\psi_0(2m+2n) + a_2\psi_0(m+n) + a_2\psi_0(2n) + a_3\psi_0(n) + a_4$$

*Bianchi-Hackl-Kieburg [2021] The Page curve for fermionic Gaussian states, *Phys. Rev. B*

†Bianchi-Hackl-Kieburg-Rigol-Vidmar [2022] Volume-law entanglement entropy of typical pure quantum states, *PRX Quantum*

Results by existing methods: fermionic-Gaussian ensemble

- ▶ **Mean:** Bianchi-Hackl-Kieburg'21* and
Bianchi-Hackl-Kieburg-Rigol-Vidmar'22†

$$\kappa_1 = a_1\psi_0(2m+2n) + a_2\psi_0(m+n) + a_2\psi_0(2n) + a_3\psi_0(n) + a_4$$

- ▶ **Variance**‡:

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_2 = & b_1\psi_1(2m+2n) + b_2\psi_1(2n) + b_3\psi_1(m+n) + \\ & b_4\psi_1(n) + b_5\psi_0(2m+2n) + b_6\psi_0(2n)\end{aligned}$$

* [Bianchi-Hackl-Kieburg \[2021\]](#) The Page curve for fermionic Gaussian states, *Phys. Rev. B*

† [Bianchi-Hackl-Kieburg-Rigol-Vidmar \[2022\]](#) Volume-law entanglement entropy of typical pure quantum states, *PRX Quantum*

‡ [Huang-Wei \[2023\]](#) Entropy fluctuation formulas of fermionic Gaussian states, *Ann. Henri Poincaré*

Procedure of finding cumulant structures

Procedure of finding cumulant structures

- ▶ Construct decoupling statistics starting from Christoffel-Darboux kernels

Procedure of finding cumulant structures

- ▶ Construct decoupling statistics starting from Christoffel-Darboux kernels
- ▶ Identify matrix-level consecutive cumulant relations through derivative w.r.t. parameters of matrix densities

Procedure of finding cumulant structures

- ▶ Construct decoupling statistics starting from Christoffel-Darboux kernels
- ▶ Identify matrix-level consecutive cumulant relations through derivative w.r.t. parameters of matrix densities
- ▶ Recycle remaining integrals from the decoupling into lower-order cumulants

Work in Perspectives: Algorithm to Analysis Gap

Algorithm to Analysis Gap

Algorithm to Analysis Gap

Theorem 1 provides an *algorithm* to straightforwardly generate the $\kappa_l(T)$ expression for any given l . However, the mechanism that gives rise to each term in $\kappa_l(T)$ (except for the first term) is unknown *analytically* (even for the 'constant term'):

Algorithm to Analysis Gap

Theorem 1 provides an *algorithm* to straightforwardly generate the $\kappa_l(T)$ expression for any given l . However, the mechanism that gives rise to each term in $\kappa_l(T)$ (except for the first term) is unknown *analytically* (even for the 'constant term'):

$$\kappa_1(T) = a_1\psi_0(n) + m(m+1)/2$$

$$\kappa_2(T) = b_1\psi_1(n) + b_2\psi_0^2(n) + \cdots + m(m+1)/2$$

$$\kappa_3(T) = c_1\psi_2(n) + c_2\psi_0(n)\psi_1(n) + \cdots + m(m+1)$$

$$\kappa_4(T) = d_1\psi_3(n) + d_2\psi_0(n)\psi_2(n) + \cdots + 3m(m+1)$$

Terms in blue are captured by Corollary 1; terms in red are conjectured to be $(l-1)!m(m+1)/2$; no clue about other terms

Happy Birthday, Peter!